Mitigation Evaluation Data Sheet

Date:		

O۷	Oversight Issues																
							Yes	k	No		_						
								Yes		No_							
Аp	plicant Cooperation																
1. [Did the property owner o	coopera	ite v	vhe	n co	ontacte	d?						Yes		No		
	s the current owner the	-											Yes		No		
	2. Is the current owner the same as the occupant? Yes No																
	per Plan Implementat																
	las the applicant provid		of of	pro	oper	blan i	am	lem	entatio	n?			Yes	*	No		
	*If yeswas it by												g Reports:				
2 \	Were the required speci-												5 . top c. tc.		_		
	Planted as Required	oo pian I	lou	· ·							de with	ann	roval				
	Don't Know	'											orior appro	- wal			
	Don't Know	<u>(C.</u>					luti	0113	WCICI	nac	JC WILLIN	Juli	onor appro	vai		_	
		Completed?	Required?		ţ	have been?	=		<i>ر</i> .					lal		Coverage	e Ge
		<u>e</u>	<u>e</u> .		<u>p</u>	pe	we		ng					tioi	б	rać	ent rac
		Ē	皮		Should it	\ Ve	×	is it	working?					Functional	Rating	۸e	class Percent Coverage
		ပိ	Re		чs	ha	ЭН	<u>s</u>	MC					Fu	Ra))	S B S
Bul	fering Averaging															1	0-5%
Sig	nage															2	5-25%
_	gation															3	25-50%
	ag Presence															4	50-75%
	cess Management															5	75-95%
	drologic Monitoring															6	95-100%
	intenance Plan															U	33-10070
																_	-4' 17
_	ant Protection																ction Key
	est Boxes																Excellent
_	ND Placement																Good
	nysical Demarcation																Fair
In	vasive Control																Poor
PI	antings															1	Failed
		ıt?									ıt?						nt?
	es ed	Dominant?				Species Code	Ξ		Ď		Dominant?		Species Code	it)		Ď	Dominant?
<u>e</u>	Species Code (4 digit) Planted	<u> </u>		Zone		Specie Code	(4 digit)		Planted		Ē	Zone	Specie Code	(4 digit)		Planted	Ē
Zone	8 0 4 B	2		Zol		g Ö	4		<u>8</u>		8	201	S S S	4		<u>- 9</u>	$\bar{\aleph}$
	<u> </u>			•		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 						' '	3, 0			_	
H		 						\vdash		\vdash							
\vdash		 						\vdash		1							+
H		1						\vdash		\vdash							
\vdash		-						1		\vdash							+
		-		_				\vdash		\vdash							_
Н		1						┡		\vdash					-		
		1						₽		1							
	igation Siting																
1. \	Was the mitigation instal		•							_	*		No*	ŧ.			
*Was the site of the mitigation appropriate? Yes No																	
**If not, was the planted site more appropriate? Yes No																	
Maintenance Adequacy																	
What is the level of threat from invasive species in adjacent areas? High:																	
Medium:																	
													ow:				
٠ ،	Journal of the energy	00.15	ام	,;4L	i.e	noise e	.	sic - 1	2					,			
∠ . t	How much of the area is	covere	u W	IIII	IIIV8	isive S	hec	ies	!				9	0			

Form DS 1560/1594 Revised 1/18/2007

Mitigation Evaluation Data Sheet

Date:		

Success								
1. Have the plant	tings been	out-competed by no	n-natives?	Yes	No			
2. Have the plant	tings been	out-competed by loc	cal natives?	Yes	No			
3. What is the approximate average height of the mitigation plantings by species?								
Trees	0 to 5	ft 5 to 10 ft.	10 to 15 ft.	15+ ft.				
Shrubs	0 to 5	ft 5 to 10 ft.	10 to 15 ft.	15+ ft.				
4. Which canopy	lavers are	present on the site?	•					
		Canopy Cover	Invasive Speci	es Dominated				
	Yes/No		Yes/No					
	Yes/No		Yes/No					
0000	1 00/110	% Ground Cover	1 00/110					
Herbaceous	Yes/No	70 0104114 00101	Yes/No					
		ed to create multi laye		Yes	No l			
6. Are there visib			crea carropy:	Yes *	No			
* Disease			Luman Impacta		NO			
		•	Human Impacts		No			
		reflect the goal of the			No			
		nance standards pres	scribed in the cor					
permit or miti	gation plar	report?		Yes	No			
•								
		be considered SUC	CESSFUL?	Yes	No			
Additional Com	ments:							
Analysis:					I			
.,								
					Ву:			
					Data Entry By:			
					_			